

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

APPLE INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

High Tech Computer Corp., a/k/a HTC Corp.,
HTC (B.V.I.) Corp., HTC America, Inc.,
Exedea, Inc.,

Defendants.

CA No.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Apple Inc. (“Apple”), for its Complaint against High Tech Computer Corp., a/k/a/ HTC Corp. (“HTC Corp.”), HTC (B.V.I.) Corp. (“HTC BVI”), HTC America, Inc. (“HTC America”), and Exedea, Inc. (“Exedea”) (collectively, “Defendants”), hereby alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Apple is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of California with its principal place of business at 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, California 95014. Apple is a leading designer and manufacturer of innovative computer technologies, including personal computers, mobile communications devices, portable digital music and video players, and related software.

2. Upon information and belief, Defendant HTC Corp. is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Taiwan with its principal place of business at 23 Xinghau Road, Taoyuan 330, Taiwan, Republic of China. Upon information and belief, Defendant HTC Corp.

is engaged in the design, manufacture, importation into the United States, and sale after importation of mobile communication devices and services.

3. Upon information and belief, Defendant HTC BVI is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant HTC Corp. and is incorporated under the laws of the British Virgin Islands with its principal place of business at 3F, Omar Hodge Building, Wickhams Cay I, P.O. Box 362, Road Town, Tortola, British Virgin Islands. Upon information and belief, Defendant HTC BVI is engaged in global investing and related activities on behalf of its parent, Defendant HTC Corp. and is itself a parent company of additional Defendants.

4. Upon information and belief, Defendant HTC America is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant HTC BVI and is incorporated under the laws of the state of Texas, with its principal place of business at 13920 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400, Bellevue, Washington 98005. Upon information and belief, Defendant HTC America performs several services to support the importation and sale of mobile communication devices produced by HTC Corp. into and within the United States, including marketing, repair, and after-sale services of mobile communication devices.

5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Exedea is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Defendant HTC BVI and is incorporated under the laws of the state of Texas with its principal place of business at 5950 Corporate Drive, Houston, Texas 77036. Upon information and belief, Defendant Exedea imports mobile communication devices produced by HTC Corp. into the United States and distributes and sells such mobile communication devices after their importation.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

6. This is an action brought by Apple against Defendants for Defendants' infringement of Apple's patents. Specifically, Apple seeks remedies for Defendants'

infringement of Apple’s U.S. Patent Nos. 7,383,453 (“the ‘453 Patent”), 7,657,849 (“the ‘849 Patent”), 6,282,646 (“the ‘646 Patent”), and 7,380,116 (“the ‘116 Patent”) (collectively, the “Asserted Patents”).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because this action arises under the patent laws of the United States.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because the Defendants have established minimum contacts with the forum state of Delaware. Defendants, directly and/or through third-party manufactures, manufacture or assemble products that are and have been offered for sale, sold, purchased, and used within the state of Delaware. In addition, Defendants, directly and/or through their distribution networks, regularly place their products within the stream of commerce, with the knowledge and/or understanding that such products will be sold in Delaware. Thus, Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the benefits of the state of Delaware and the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendants would not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.

9. Defendants transact business in the state of Delaware because, among other things, Defendants manufacture and distribute products that are offered for sale, sold, purchased, and used within the state of Delaware. Defendants have also committed tortious acts of patent infringement in Delaware and are subject to personal jurisdiction in Delaware. Venue is thus proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), (c), (d) and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS IN SUIT

10. The Asserted Patents cover generally various software and/or hardware technologies that can be incorporated into mobile communication devices, including cellular phones and smart phones, among various other types of products.

11. Apple owns by assignment the entire right, title, and interest in and to the Asserted Patents, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

12. All of the Asserted Patents are valid and enforceable.

13. Upon information and belief, Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe one or more claims of each of the Asserted Patents by engaging in acts that constitute infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271, including but not necessarily limited to making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale, in Delaware and elsewhere in the United States, and/or importing into Delaware and elsewhere in the United States, certain mobile communication devices including cellular phones and smart phones, including at least phones incorporating the Android Operating System (collectively, “the Accused Products”).

COUNT I - INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,383,453

14. Paragraphs 1 through 13 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

15. The ‘453 Patent, entitled “Conserving Power By Reducing Voltage Supplied To An Instruction-Processing Portion Of A Processor,” was duly and legally issued on June 3, 2008 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

16. On January 13, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued a Certificate of Correction to correct printing errors made by the United States Patent and Trademark Office with respect to the name of the Assignee. This January 13, 2009 Certificate of Correction changed the Assignee Name on page 1 of the ‘453 Patent from “Apple, Inc.” to “APPLE INC.”

17. On February 17, 2009, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued a Certificate of Correction to correct printing errors made by the United States Patent and Trademark Office with respect to the name of the Assignee. Like the January 13, 2009

Certificate of Correction, this February 17, 2009 Certificate of Correction changed the Assignee Name on page 1 of the '453 Patent from "Apple, Inc." to "APPLE INC."

18. On June 8, 2010, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued a Certificate of Correction to correct printing errors made by the United States Patent and Trademark Office with respect to claims 1, 8, 10, 15 and 21 of the '453 Patent. A copy of the '453 Patent with the January 13, 2009, February 17, 2009 and June 8, 2010 Certificates of Correction is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

19. Apple is the exclusive and current owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '453 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

20. Defendants have infringed and are infringing the '453 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing, without authority, products and services that are covered by one or more claims of the '453 Patent, including but not limited to the Accused Products.

21. Defendants have contributed to and/or induced and will continue to contribute to and/or induce the infringement of the '453 Patent by others in this District and elsewhere in the United States, with the direct infringement being accomplished by end users of at least the Accused Products.

22. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Defendants' infringement of the '453 Patent, in an amount to be determined at trial.

23. Apple has suffered irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' infringement of the '453 Patent is enjoined by this Court.

24. Defendants' infringement of the '453 Patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT II - INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,657,849

25. Paragraphs 1 through 24 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

26. The '849 Patent, entitled "Unlocking A Device By Performing Gestures On An Unlock Image," was duly and legally issued on February 2, 2010 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

27. On March 16, 2010, the United States Patent and Trademark Office issued a Certificate of Correction to correct, among other things, printing errors made by the United States Patent and Trademark Office with respect to claims 19 and 23 of the '849 Patent. A copy of the '849 Patent with the March 16, 2010 Certificate of Correction is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

28. Apple is the exclusive and current owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '849 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

29. Defendants have infringed and are infringing the '849 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing, without authority, products and services that are covered by one or more claims of the '849 Patent, including but not limited to the Accused Products.

30. Defendants have contributed to and/or induced and will continue to contribute to and/or induce the infringement of the '849 Patent by others in this District and elsewhere in the United States, with the direct infringement being accomplished by end users of at least the Accused Products.

31. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Defendants' infringement of the '849 Patent, in an amount to be determined at trial.

32. Apple has suffered irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' infringement of the '849 Patent is enjoined by this Court.

33. Defendants' infringement of the '849 Patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT III - INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,282,646

34. Paragraphs 1 through 33 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

35. The '646 Patent, entitled "System For Real-Time Adaptation To Changes In Display Configuration," was duly and legally issued on August 28, 2001 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the '646 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

36. Apple is the exclusive and current owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '646 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

37. Defendants have infringed and are infringing the '849 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing, without authority, products and services that are covered by one or more claims of the '646 Patent, including but not limited to the Accused Products.

38. Defendants have contributed to and/or induced and will continue to contribute to and/or induce the infringement of the '646 Patent by others in this District and elsewhere in the United States, with the direct infringement being accomplished by end users of at least the Accused Products.

39. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Defendants' infringement of the '646 Patent, in an amount to be determined at trial.

40. Apple has suffered irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' infringement of the '646 Patent is enjoined by this Court.

41. Defendants' infringement of the '646 Patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

COUNT IV - INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,380,116

42. Paragraphs 1 through 41 are incorporated by reference as if fully stated herein.

43. The '116 Patent, entitled "System For Real-Time Adaptation To Changes In Display Configuration," was duly and legally issued on May 27, 2008 by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. A copy of the '116 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

44. Apple is the exclusive and current owner of all rights, title, and interest in the '116 Patent, including the right to bring this suit for injunctive relief and damages.

45. Defendants have infringed and are infringing the '116 Patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing, without authority, products and services that are covered by one or more claims of the '116 Patent, including but not limited to the Accused Products.

46. Defendants have contributed to and/or induced and will continue to contribute to and/or induce the infringement of the '116 Patent by others in this District and elsewhere in the United States, with the direct infringement being accomplished by end users of at least the Accused Products.

47. Apple has been and continues to be damaged by Defendants' infringement of the '116 Patent, in an amount to be determined at trial.

48. Apple has suffered irreparable injury for which there is no adequate remedy at law and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' infringement of the '166 Patent is enjoined by this Court.

49. Defendants' infringement of the '116 Patent is exceptional and entitles Apple to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Apple prays for the following judgment and relief against Defendants:

- (A) That Defendants have infringed each and every one of the Asserted Patents;
- (B) That Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them, and their successors and assigns, be permanently enjoined from infringement, inducement of infringement, and contributory infringement of each and every one of the Asserted Patents, including but not limited to an injunction against making, using, selling, and/or offering for sale within the United States, and/or importing into the United States, any products and/or services that infringe the Asserted Patents;
- (C) That Apple be awarded all damages adequate to compensate it for Defendants' infringement of the Asserted Patents, such damages to be determined by a jury, and if necessary to adequately compensate Apple for the infringement, an accounting;
- (D) That Apple be awarded treble damages and pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by law;
- (E) That this case be declared an exceptional case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285 and that Apple be awarded attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with this action;

(F) That Apple be awarded such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 21, 2010

/s/ Richard K. Herrmann

Richard K. Herrmann (I.D. #405)
Mary B. Matterer (I.D. #2696)
Amy A. Quinlan (I.D. #3201)
MORRIS JAMES LLP
500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1500
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 888-6800
Facsimile: (302) 571-1750
rherrmann@morrisjames.com

Robert G. Krupka, P.C.
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
333 South Hope Street
Los Angeles, California 90071
Telephone: (213) 680-8400
Facsimile: (213) 680-8500

Gregory S. Arovas, P.C.
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: (212) 446-4800
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900

Bryan S. Hales, P.C.
Marcus E. Sernel, P.C.
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
300 North LaSalle
Chicago, IL 60654
Telephone: (312) 862-2000
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

Kenneth H. Bridges
Michael T. Pieja
Brian C. Kwok
WONG, CABELLO, LUTSCH,
RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI LLP
540 Cowper Street, Suite 100
Palo Alto, CA 94301
Telephone: (650) 681-4475
Facsimile: (650) 403-4043

Attorneys for Apple Inc.